

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,636		07/15/2003	Hsieh Kun Lee		1706
25859	7590 02/25/2005			EXAMINER	
WEI TE CI		NATIONAL, INC.	MCKINNON, TERRELL L		
1650 MEMO	DREX D	RIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SANTA CL.	ARA, C	CA 95050	3743		
				DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004	.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Appl	lication No.	Applicant(s)
		320,636	LEE ET AL.
Office Action Summa	Exam	miner	Art Unit
		ell L Mckinnon	3743
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	mmunication appears o	on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM Extensions of time may be available under the properties of the mailing date of the state of	MMUNICATION. rovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In his communication. n thirty (30) days, a reply within the dimum statutory period will apply for reply will, by statute, cause the months after the mailing date of	no event, however, may a	irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on <u>15 July 200</u>	<u>)3</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action	is non-final.	
3)☐ Since this application is in con	dition for allowance exc	cept for formal mat	tters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the	practice under Ex parte	e Q <i>uayl</i> e, 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims			·
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending ir	the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s)		n consideration	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	_ 13/arc withdrawn holl	n consideration.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.		•	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	l to		
8) Claim(s) are subject to		on requirement	
Application Papers			
·			
9) The specification is objected to			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 July</u>	<u>∠003</u> is/are: a) <u>⊠</u> acce	epted or b) object	cted to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any			
11) The eath or declaration is abise	luding the correction is re	quired if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is object	ted to by the Examiner	. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	`		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a c a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None 1.⊠ Certified copies of the pr	of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
2. Certified copies of the pr			polication No
3. Copies of the certified co	pies of the priority docu	uments have been	received in this National Stage
application from the Inter			
* See the attached detailed Office	action for a list of the c	ertified copies not	received.
attachment(s)			
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev 	riew (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/15/2003	149 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office		6) Other:	
OL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Sur	nmary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050222

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-8 and 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hsien (U.S. 6,655,449).

Hsien discloses a liquid cooling device comprising of the applicant's claimed and disclosed limitations of the instant invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/620,636

Art Unit: 3743

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsien (U.S. 6,655,449) in view of Calaman et al. (U.S. 6,719,039).

Hsien's invention discloses all of the claimed limitations from above except for the height of the pins are substantially equal to the height of the sidewalls.

5. However, Calaman teaches a liquid cooling device, wherein the height of the pins (25) is substantially equal to the height of the sidewalls (21).

Given the teachings of Calaman, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the liquid cooling device of Hsien with the height of the pins is substantially equal to the height of the sidewalls.

Doing so would enhance the support structural of the cooling device and thermal conductivity.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following references cited on the USPTO 892 discloses related limitations of the applicant's claimed and disclosed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terrell L Mckinnon whose telephone number is 571-272-4797. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on 571-272-4791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Terrell L Mckinnon Primary Examiner Art Unit 3743 February 22, 2005